

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,366	07/03/2001	Gennaro A. Cuomo	RSW920000184US1	1082	
7590 11/02/2005			EXAMINER		
DUKE W. YEE, ESQ. YEE \$ ASSOCIATES			TO, JENNIFER Ņ		
4100 ALPHA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1100			2195		
DALLAS, TX 75244			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/898,366	CUOMO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jennifer N. To	2195	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDM**ENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🗷 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5,6,8-10,13,14,16-18,21,22 and 24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____. ENG. ATT. AN

ENG. ATT. AN

ENG. ATT. AN

Examiner

Examiner Art Unit: 2195

Application No. 09/898,366

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the reason set forth below:

In the response to applicant's remark that Bhatti fails to teach completing processing of multiple HTTP requests before process of other multiple requests. Bhatti teaches processing requests according to their importance the request's class (page 3, Architecture for Server-Based QOS section, lines 19-20), and process requests based on the scheduling policy in which Bahtti introduced a strict priority schedules that schedules all higher-class requests before low-class requests even when low-priority requests are waiting (figure 7; page 5, Request Scheduling section). Thus, all higher-class requests (multiple requests) are processed before processed all lower-class requests.

In response to applicant's remark that the combination of Bhatti and Ferguson fail to teach determining whether there is a backlog of pending HTTP requests waiting to be processed by said application, and in response to a determination that there is no backlog, immediately processing said one of said plurality of HTTP requests. Bhatti teaches HTTP requests (page 4, Connection Manager section). Ferguson teaches determining whether there is a backlog of pending requests waiting to be processed by said application, and in response to a determination that there is no backlog, immediately processing said one of said plurality of requests (col. 12, lines 2-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of Bhatti, and Ferguson because Ferguson is teaching of determining a backlog of pending requests and immediately processing the requests would improve the performance of Bhatti's system by reducing or eliminating the user's wait time for downloading (Ferguson, col. 3, lines 18-19)..